ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

3

CASE: C14-2015-0073

P.C. DATE: September 8, 2015

September 22, 2015

ADDRESS: 7200 E. Ben White Blvd

DISTRICT AREA: 3

OWNER/APPLICANT: KWH Properties

AGENT: Thrower Design, A. Ron Thrower

ZONING FROM: GR-MU-NP & LI-NP TO: SF-6-NP AREA: 12.03 acres

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend GR-MU-CO-NP & SF-6-CO-NP (Exhibit A)

The existing LI-NP would change to GR-MU-CO-NP and the existing GR-MU-NP would change to SF-6-CO-NP.

The Conditional Overlay would require:

- -50 feet buffer along the boundary with LI-NP zoning.
- -50 feet of Right-of-Way dedication within the buffer for Carson Ridge collector.

COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

Planning Commission 9/8/2015: POSTPONED to 9/22 by staff to allow notification for the Neighborhood Plan Amendment. Planning Commission 9/22/2015:

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS:

The property consists of 12.03 undeveloped acres in two tracts located along the west bound frontage road of Ben White Blvd/Highway 71 East. The applicant proposes to develop the property as "Phase II" of the "Eastwood at Riverside" which is an existing detached, single-family, condominium subdivision with 117 units. Phase II would add 82 detached, single-family, condominium units. Although the units could be constructed within the GR-MU-NP portion of the site, residential is prohibited in LI-NP necessitating the zoning change request. In addition, commercial design standards along Ben White Blvd are not required for SF-6-NP. This project is proposing to take vehicle access through the existing Eastwood at Riverside development to Riverside Drive.

The East Riverside Corridor Plan shows the connection of Carson Ridge through this property to Ben White Blvd (Exhibit B1&B2). In addition, the Transportation department has requested the extension of Yellow Jacket Lane through the property east to west. After discussions with Transportation and the applicant, it has been determined that the staff recommended buffer along the LI-NP property can serve as the right-of-way for the

extension of Carson Ridge. When the adjacent LI-NP property to the west is redeveloped, the remaining right-of-way should be dedicated. Because of rough proportionality, the extension of Yellow Jacket Lane is not feasible in addition to Carson Ridge.

The Neighborhood Plan identified this area as an industrial use however because of its proximity to the central city it is seeing intense pressure to be developed for residential use. Recently, a large multi-family residential development is underway to the west of the adjacent LI-NP tract.

<u>ISSUES</u>: The applicant does not agree with the Staff recommendation of separate zoning for tracts 1 & 2. The applicant requests both tracts zoned SF-6. The Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Team has submitted a letter in support of the Neighborhood Plan amendment as well as the change to SF-6-NP for both tracts.

EXISTING ZONING AND LAND USES:

	ZONING	LAND USES	
Site	GR-MU-NP & LI-NP	Undeveloped	
North	SF-6-NP	Single family condominium	
South	ERC	Auto Sales	
East	ERC	Mobile Home/RV sales	
West	LI-NP	Vacant	

NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING AREA: East Riverside/Oltorf Combined, Parker Lane

<u>TIA or NTA</u>: Applicant has agreed to limit site to 2000 vehicle trips per day so no TIA is required at this time.

WATERSHED: Suburban, Country Club Creek

DESIRED DEVELOPMENT ZONE: Yes

CAPITOL VIEW CORRIDOR: No HILL COUNTRY ROADWAY: No

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Team Montopolis Neighborhood Association Del Valle Community Coalition GO! Austin/VAMOS! Austin – Dove Springs Friends of Austin Neighborhoods Montopolis Community Alliance Austin Heritage Tree Foundation Bike Austin Bike Austin
Austin Neighborhoods Council
Montopolis Area Neighborhood Alliance
Southeast Corner Alliance of Neighborhoods
Carson Ridge Neighborhood Association
Real Estate Council of Austin
Onion Creek Homeowners Association
Crossing Garden Homeowners Assn
Dove Springs Neighborhood Assn
Preservation Austin
Friends of the Emma Barrientos MACC
Southeast Combined Neighborhood Plan Contact Team
Sel Texas
Sierra Club



SCHOOLS: Eastside Memorial HS, Martin MS, Allison Elementary

RELATED CASES FOR THIS PROPERTY:

Montopolis Tributary Trail Association

Dove Springs Proud

NUMBER	REQUEST	PLANNING COMMISSION	CITY COUNCIL
NPA-2015-0005.02	Mixed use and	Pending	Pending
	Industrial to	5	
	High Density		
	Single Family		
C14-01-0060 (7200	SF3 & CS to	GR-MU-NP	GR-MU-NP
E. Ben White)	GR-MU-NP	~~	
	Montopolis	*1	
	Neighborhood		
	Plan		
C14-01-0060 (7200	LI to LI-NP	LI-NP	LI-NP
E. Ben White)	Montopolis		
	Neighborhood		
	Plan	=	

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES CASE HISTORIES:

NUMBER	REQUEST	PLANNING COMMISSION	CITY COUNCIL
C14-2011-0169	LI-NP & CS-NP	CS-MU-NP	CS-MU-NP
(7000-6934 ½ E.	to CS-MU-NP		}
Ben White)		ļ	
C14-2012-0113	SF-3-NP to	SF6-CO-NP	SF6-CO-NP
(7003 E. Riverside	SF6-CO-NP		

1					13
1	Dr.)				1
N.	Ç14-2012-0112	CS-NP to ERC	ERC	ERC	
	(7310-7216 E. Ben				
*	White Blvd)	**			-1

CITY COUNCIL DATE:

ACTION:

ORDINANCE READINGS:

1st

2nd

3rd

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

CASE MANAGER:

Andrew Moore

PHONE: 512-974-7604

EMAIL:

andrew.moore@austintexas.gov

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

SF-6-NP & GR-MU-CO-NP

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION

Land development pressures in this area are changing the uses from light-industrial to residential. Because of this property's proximity to LI and its frontage along Ben White Blvd, staff is recommending:

LI-NP to GR-MU-CO-NP (Tract 1) GR-MU-NP to SF-6-NP (Tract 2)

Staff has concerns regarding a single family development fronting Ben White Blvd and adjacent to LI-NP and instead recommends a mixed-use transition from the LI to SF-6. If there will be a residential development in the mixed use tract, staff recommends a 50 feet buffer along the boundary with the LI tract to the west. In response to Transportation staff comments, the applicant should also dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way within the buffer for extension of Carson Ridge as recommended in the East Riverside Corridor plan. If/when the adjacent LI property is redeveloped additional right-of-way will be required to complete the Carson Ridge collector.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The property is currently undeveloped. The area is developed to the north and east with a mixture of single-family and manufactured homes and the initial "Phase I" of the proposed development. Also to the east is East Riverside Corridor (ERC) zoned property used as a used car dealer. To the west is a currently vacant LI zoned warehouse facility previously used as a gas station warehouse. Immediately to the west of the LI zoned property is a multi-family development under construction on CS-MU.

Site Characteristics


The zoning change request is for two heavily wooded, undeveloped tracts totaling 12.03 acres located in the Montopolis Neighborhood Planning Area with frontage along Ben White Blvd/Highway 71 East. It is relatively gently sloped from north to south.

Comprehensive Planning

There is an associated Neighborhood Plan Amendment for this case. Please see report for NPA-2015-0005.02

Environmental

- The site is not located over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. The site is in the Carson Creek Watershed of the Colorado River Basin, which is classified as a Suburban Watershed by Chapter 25-8 of the City's Land Development Code. The site is in the Desired Development Zone.
- 2. Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment on this site will be subject to the following impervious cover limits:







Development Classification	% of Gross Site Area	% of Gross Site Area with Transfers
Single-Family	50%	60%
(minimum lot size 5750 sq. ft.)		
Other Single-Family or Duplex	55%	60%
Multifamily	60%	70%
Commercial	80%	90%

- 3. According to floodplain maps there is no floodplain within or adjacent to the project location.
- 4. Standard landscaping and tree protection will be required in accordance with LDC 25-2 and 25-8 for all development and/or redevelopment.
- 5. Numerous trees will likely be impacted with a proposed development associated with this rezoning case. Please be aware that an approved rezoning status does not eliminate a proposed development's requirements to meet the intent of the tree ordinances. If further explanation or specificity is needed, please contact the City Arborist at 512-974-1876. At this time, site specific information is unavailable regarding other vegetation, areas of steep slope, or other environmental features such as bluffs, springs, canyon rimrock, caves, sinkholes, and wetlands.
- Under current watershed regulations, development or redevelopment requires
 water quality control with increased capture volume and control of the 2 year storm
 on site.
- 7. At this time, no information has been provided as to whether this property has any preexisting approvals that preempt current water quality or Code requirements.

Transportation

A traffic impact analysis was not required for this case because the traffic generated by the proposed zoning does not exceed the threshold of 2,000 vehicle trips per day. [LDC, 25-6-113]

FYI – If the zoning is approved, there is concern that a single family residential development fronts an arterial.

A Neighborhood Traffic Analysis will be required at the time of site plan if triggered per LDC 25-6-114. LDC, Sec. 25-6-114.



The East Riverside Corridor Plan requires the extension of Carson Ridge from Montopolis to Ben White Boulevard through this property. Right of Way should be dedicated to complete Carson Ridge.

The Austin Metropolitan Area Transportation Plan calls for a total of 400 feet of right-of-way for E. Ben White Boulevard. If the requested zoning is granted, then 75 feet of right-of-way should be dedicated and 125 feet of right-of-way reserved from the existing centerline of E. Ben White Boulevard in accordance with the Transportation Plan. TxDOT may request the reservation of additional right-of-way in accordance with the Transportation Plan when the site is redeveloped. [LDC, Sec. 25-6-51 and 25-6-55).

Additional right-of-way maybe required at the time of subdivision and/or site plan.

Complete Streets Review

It is recommended, as a condition of zoning, that Yellowjacket Lane be extended to Ben White with right-of-way through the property in accordance with our Complete Streets Policy Ordinance #20140612-119 for connectivity to Riverside Drive.

The existing roadway conditions:

Name	ROW	Pavement	Classification	Sidewalks	Bike Route	Capital Metro (within ¼ mile)
E. Ben White Boulevard	350 ft.	<140 ft.	Arterial	No	Yes, Route # 418.06 Shared Lane	No
Yellowjacket Lane	<88 ft.	28 ft.	Local	Yes, One Side	No	No, but there is a bus stop .3 miles away on Riverside Drive

If the requested zoning is recommended for this site, stub out internal drives to the west for future connection.

Nadia Barrera, Urban Trails, Public Works Department and Nathan Wilkes, Bicycle Program, Austin Transportation Department may provide additional comments regarding bicycle and pedestrian connectivity per the Council Resolution No. 20130620-056.

According to the Austin 2009 Bicycle Plan Update approved by Austin City Council in June, 2009, a Wide Shoulder is recommended along E. Ben White Boulevard.

Water and Wastewater

WW1. The landowner intends to serve the site with City of Austin water and wastewater utilities. The landowner, at own expense, will be responsible for providing any water and wastewater utility improvements, offsite main extensions, utility relocations and or abandonments required by the land use. The water and wastewater utility plan must be reviewed and approved by the Austin Water Utility for compliance with City criteria and suitability for operation and maintenance. Depending on the development plans submitted, water and or wastewater service extension requests may be required. All water and wastewater construction must be inspected by the City of Austin. The landowner must pay the City inspection fee with the utility construction. The landowner must pay the tap and impact fee once the landowner makes an application for a City of Austin water and wastewater utility tap permit.

FYI. Based on current public infrastructure configurations, it appears that service extension requests will be required to provide service to this lot. For more information pertaining to the Service Extension Request process and submittal requirements contact Phillip Jaeger with the Austin Water Utility, Utility Development Services at 625 E. 10th St., 7th floor. Ph: 512-972-0232.

Storm Water Detention

At the time a final subdivision plat, subdivision construction plans, or site plan is submitted, the developer must demonstrate that the proposed development will not result in additional identifiable flooding of other property. Any increase in storm water runoff will be mitigated through on-site storm water detention ponds, or participation in the City of Austin Regional Storm water Management Program if available.

Site Plan and Compatibility Standards

A Site Plan will be required for any new development other than single-family or duplex residential.

Any development which occurs in an SF-6 or less restrictive zoning district which is located 540-feet or less from property in an SF-5 or more restrictive zoning district will be subject to compatibility development regulations.


This site is in a neighborhood planning area, Montopolis, more comments may be generated at time of site plan review.

Any new development is subject to Subchapter E. Design Standards and Mixed Use (Urban Roadway requirements). Additional comments will be made when the site plan is submitted.


The site is subject to compatibility standards. Along the northeast and the northwest property line, the following standards apply:

- No structure may be built within 25 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of two stories or 30 feet in height may be constructed within
 50 feet of the property line.
- No structure in excess of three stories or 40 feet in height may be constructed within 100 feet of the property line.
- No parking or driveways are allowed within 25 feet of the property line.
- A landscape area at least 25 feet wide is required along the property line. In addition, a fence, berm, or dense vegetation must be provided to screen adjoining properties from views of parking, mechanical equipment, storage, and refuse collection. Additional design regulations will be enforced at the time a site plan is submitted.

This property is within the Controlled Compatible Land Use Area defined by Chapter 241 of the Local Government Code. Development on this property is limited by Chapter 25-13 of the Austin City Code. Airport hazards as defined in Federal Aviation Regulations Part 77, as adopted by the City in Sections 25-13-23, are prohibited. For more information, contact Joe Medici, Noise Abatement Officer at (512) 530-6652.







ZONING

ZONING CASE #: C14-2015-0073

LOCATION: 7200 E. BEN WHITE BLVD

SUBJECT AREA: 12.03 ACRES GRID: 646 E18

MANAGER: Andrew Moore

he by







ZONING

ZONING CASE#: C14-2015-0073

LOCATION: 7200 E. BEN WHITE BLVD

SUBJECT AREA: 12.03 ACRES MANAGER: Andrew Moore



1' = 400' EXHIBIT





Figure 1-5: East Riverside Corridor Collector Street Map

Shows existing and new streets designated as Collector streets.





May 26, 2015



Ms. Maureen Meredith
Neighborhood Planning & Zoning Department
P O Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767

RE: Eastwood at Riverside, Phase 3 Rezoning and Neighborhood Plan Amendment

Dear Maureen Meredith;

The Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Team (MNPCT) held its meeting on May 13th, 2015 to review a zoning request and Plan Amendment Request for out-of-cycle. The MNPCT reviewed Garrett S. Martin's Eastwood at Riverside, Phase 3 request.

This letter is to express the full support by the Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Team for the request by Milestone Community Builders, LLC for the Neighborhood Plan Amendment of the future land use designation from Industry and Mixed Use to Single Family Residential of the Eastwood at Riverside, Phase 3 property.

Furthermore, we fully support the proposed re-zoning of the tract from LI-NP and GR-MU-NP to SF-6.

We have worked together with Milestone to satisfy the Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Plan Team that these changes will be beneficial to both the surrounding neighborhood and the City of Austin.

Please grant Milestone's request for these changes.

Sincerely,

Susana Almanza

Susana Almanza, President Montopolis Neighborhood Contact Team